UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,243	04/19/2006	Francis Dieras	0608-1012	1477
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 08/19/201 ¹ OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		CONLEY, SEAN EVERETT		
	Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/560,243	DIERAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SEAN E. CONLEY	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perionally reply or perionally reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/8 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5,8,10,11,16 and 17 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-5,8,10,11,16 and 17 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the lee drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. The response filed June 8, 2010 has been received and considered for examination. Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10-11, and 16-17 are presently pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, cited in the previous office action are withdrawn in response to the claim amendments filed June 8, 2010.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C 102(b) have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 10-11, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moulton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,178,829) in view of Jacobs et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,667,753).

Concerning claim 1, Moulton et al. discloses a method for sterilizing objects, in particular medical or surgical instruments, in which a plasma is created in an enclosure (2) by action of a magnetron (6) to produce an electric field on a gaseous flow and the

post-discharge flow which issues therefrom is passed through a conduit (delivery tubes 54, 56, 58) and brought into contact with the surface of the objects to be treated in the chamber (see figures 1 and 3; see col. 2, line 55 to col. 3, line 18; see col. 3, line 65 to col. 7, line 44). However, Moulton et al. does not appear to teach an object holder within the sterilization chamber for holding items to be sterilized.

Jacobs et al. discloses a sterilization chamber wherein objects to be sterilized are placed on a metal object holder (metal shelf) within the chamber and exposed to plasma (see col. 11, line 45 to col. 12, line 21; see col. 9, lines 40-46; see col. 5, lines 19-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Moulton et al. and include a metal shelf in the treatment chamber as exemplified by Jacobs et al. in order to support the instruments within the chamber thus enabling the sterilant to circulate completely around the instruments. Furthermore, the combination of Mouton et al. and Jacobs et al. results in a device that has a metal object holder that is capable of generating heat under the effect of recombination of nitrogen.

Concerning claims 3-5, 10-11, and 16-17, the limitations of these claims are directed to the sterilization chamber which has not been positively recited as being part of the claimed device (see claim 1).

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moulton et al. in view of Jacobs et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of High et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,467,618 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,243 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

The combination of Moulton et al. and Jacobs et al. is silent with regards to specific materials for the metallic object holder, therefore, it would have been necessary and thus obvious to look to the prior art for conventional materials. High et al. provides this conventional teaching showing that it is known in the art to use brass as the material for an object holder in a sterilization chamber since it resists corrosion to sterilization processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the object holder from brass motivated by the expectation of successfully practicing the invention of the combination of Moulton et al. and Jacobs et al.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/560,243 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E. Conley whose telephone number is 571-272-8414. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 13, 2010

/Sean E Conley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797